

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,737	03/23/2001	Rajendra S. Bhatnagar	06510223CON2	6527
75	590 05/30/2003			
Kathleen S. Hall BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP Suite 200			EXAMINER	
			TELLER, ROY R	
200 Middlefield Road Menlo Park, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1654	

DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/816,737	BHATNAGAR, RAJENDRA S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Roy Teller	1654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S C. § 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 h					
, <del>_</del>	s action is non-final.				
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under Interpolation of Claims</li> </ol>					
4). Claim(s) <u>10-13 and 16-18</u> is/are pending in the	e application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>10-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	<sup>-</sup> .				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1654

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to Paper No: 12, received 3/11/03, in which applicant cancelled claims 14 and 15, and added new claims 16-18.

Claims 10-13 and 16-18 will be examined.

Newly submitted claims 16-18 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claims are drawn to a biocompatible carrier, which is a hydogel, wherein the carrier is resorbable.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 16-18 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Double Patenting

The terminal disclaimer filed on 3/11/03 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of USPN 5,354,736, 5,635,482, and 5,958,428 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded. The obviousness-type double patenting rejection is withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 09/816,737

Art Unit: 1654

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, of claims 10 and 13 is upheld.

Claim 10 recites a domain that mimics cell binding by collagen, this remains indefinite for failing to specify or define the domain.

Claim 13 recites repair of bone, cartilage, tendons, ligaments, or muscle damage associated with arthritis, this remains indefinite for failing to specify which types of arthritis.

Claims 11 and 12 are included in this rejection for depending upon a rejected claim.

The rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, of claim 13 is upheld for reasons of record. Examiner further points to *Ex parte Bakzarini* 21 USPQ2d 1892 (BdPatAppl&Int. 1991). Based on the teachings of unpredictability regarding *in vivo* therapy which are taught in the prior art, persons skilled in the art would not associate *in vitro* results with *in vivo* therapeutic efficacy. Applicant's specification fail to contain sufficient disclosure to overcome the teachings of unpredictability which are found in the art.

Conclusion

All claims are rejected. This action is made FINAL.

Art Unit: 1654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy Teller whose telephone number is (703) 305-4243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (703) 306-3220. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

RT 1654 5/27/03

KT

BRENDA BRUMBACK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600